UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Plaintiff,	
30	CASE NO. 15-cr-20710
ν.	MAG. JUDGE ANTHONY P. PATTI
CLIFFORD CONNOR	
Defendant.	
	/

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL AND DENYING DEFENDANT'S REQUEST FOR DISCOVERY

After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require that Defendant be detained pending trial.

Part I – Findings of Fact

- A. Eligibility. This case is eligible for a Detention Hearing (18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)), for the reasons checked below in this Part I A:
- ⊠(1) Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), upon the government's motion in a case that involves
 - \(\) \(\) a crime of violence, a violation of section 1591, or an offense listed in 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; \(\) or \(\)
 - \boxtimes (b) an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death;

or

□(c) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-

		904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46; or
	□(d)	any felony if such person has been convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed, or a combination of such offenses; or
	□(e)	any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence but involves:
		\Box (i) a minor victim, or
		□(ii) the possession or use of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in section 921), or
		\square (iii) any other dangerous weapon, or
		\square (iv) involves a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250.
□(2)		18 U.S.C. 3142(f)(2), upon the government's motion or the court's own in a case that involves
	\Box (a)	a serious risk that such person will flee; or
	□(b)	a serious risk that such person will obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice, or threaten, injure, or intimidate, or attempt to threaten, injure, or intimidate, a prospective witness or juror.
В.		ttable Presumption. A rebuttable presumption for detention exists in ase if reasons are checked below in this Part I B.
(1)	Defendant on Release Pending Trial (18 U.S.C. § 3142 (e)(2)): A rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of another person or the community arises when	
	□(a)	Defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), and has previously been convicted of a crime listed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or comparable state or local offense; and

	⊔(b)	The offense was committed while Defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state, or local offense; and
	\Box (c)	A period of less than five years has elapsed since
		\Box (i) the date of conviction, or
		□(ii) Defendant's release from prison.
(2)	that no	able Cause Findings (18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3)): A rebuttable presumption of condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the rance of the person as required and the safety of the community arises when a probable cause to believe that Defendant has committed an offense
	□(a)	for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46; or
	⊠(b)	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c) (use of a deadly or dangerous weapon or device in relation to a crime of violence or drug trafficking crime), 18 U.S.C. § 956(a) (conspiracy to kill, kidnap, maim, or injure persons or damage property in a foreign country), or 18 U.S.C. § 2332b (acts of terrorism transcending national boundaries); or
	□(c)	listed in 18 U.S.C. \S 2332b(g)(5)(B) (federal crimes of terrorism) for which the prison term is 10 or more years; or
	□(d)	under Chapter 77 of Title 18, United States Code, for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed (i.e., 18 U.S.C. §§ 1581, 1583, 1584, 1589, and 1594)(slavery); or
	□(e)	involving a minor victim as listed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3)(E).

Part II – Statement of the Reasons for Detention

I find that the testimony and information submitted at the detention hearing establishes

condit	by clear and convincing evidence that, for the reasons set forth below, there is no ion or combination of conditions which will reasonably assure the safety of the unity; or
no con	by a preponderance of the evidence that, for the reasons set forth below, there is addition or combination of conditions which will reasonably assure Defendant's rance; or
	both of the above.

Statement of reasons for detention pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 3142(i):

The Court finds that there is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed one of the enumerated offense for which a presumption in favor of detention arises, as described above and as established by the grand jury indictment. The reasons for the Court's detention ruling were stated on the record at the conclusion of the detention hearing and are hereby incorporated by this reference as though restated herein. The Court finds that the presumption in favor of detention has not been rebutted with respect to the danger to the community factor. For the sake of argument only, even if this presumption had been rebutted, the Court finds by clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of the community due to the extremely violent nature of the acts at issue, both alone and in combination with the Defendant's prior criminal history. The evidence indicates: (1) that Defendant participated in a carjacking in which he was an active participant; (2) that he held a gun to both the driver and the passenger of the carjacked vehicle in order to unlawfully take possession of the motor vehicle, money, an I-phone and identification papers; (3) that he has prior convictions for felony home invasion and fleeing in the third 2:15-cr-20710-GCS-DRG Doc # 15 Filed 11/05/15 Pg 5 of 5 Pg ID 72

degree; (4) that his criminal activities are escalating; (5) that when the police arrived at

the location where the stolen vehicle was found, he ran into the house, trying to hide, and

tossed a firearm from his possession.

Furthermore, the defendant's motion for discovery (DE 10) is DENIED for the reasons

stated on the record, including but not limited to the fact that Defendant's request is

MOOT with respect to the production of witness statements under Federal Rule of

Criminal Procedure 26.2, since no witness testified at the detention hearing, and further

because the Court finds good cause to defer discovery, if any, under Rule 16(d)(1).

Part III – Directions Regarding Detention

Defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated

representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable,

from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. Defendant

must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On

order of a United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the

person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver Defendant to the United States

Marshal for a court appearance.

Review of this Order is governed by 18 U.S.C. § 3145 and E.D. Mich. L.R. 57.2.

Date: November 6, 2015

/S ANTHONY P. PATTI

Anthony P. Patti

United States Magistrate Judge